UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,863	07/14/2003	Mehul Y. Shah	MS1-1539US	7761
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 07/30/200 S. PLLC	EXAMINER		
601 W. RIVER	SIDE AVENUE	CHIO, TAT CHI		
SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA	A 99201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/619,863	SHAH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TATE OF THE	2021	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appersor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, which	hever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on the hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: The newly added limitation "the authority to			
video content" raises new issue that requires further			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an ex	planation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-31,33-46 and 55-59</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea vand was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	າ of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowen	no boosussi
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowant	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Thai Tran/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621			